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ABSTRACT

Taste buds are dependent on innervation for normal morphology and function. Fungiform taste bud degeneration after chorda tympani nerve injury has been well documented in rats, hamsters, and gerbils. The current study examines fungiform taste bud distribution and structure in adult C57BL/6J mice from both intact taste systems and after unilateral chorda-lingual nerve transection. Fungiform taste buds were visualized and measured with the aid of cytokeratin 8. In control mice, taste buds were smaller and more abundant on the anterior tip (<1 mm) of the tongue. By 5 days after nerve transection taste buds were smaller and fewer on the side of the tongue ipsilateral to the transection and continued to decrease in both size and number until 15 days posttransection. Degenerating fungiform taste buds were smaller due to a loss of taste bud cells rather than changes in taste bud morphology. While almost all taste buds disappeared in more posterior fungiform papillae by 15 days posttransection, the anterior tip of the tongue retained nearly half of its taste buds compared to intact mice. Surviving taste buds could not be explained by an apparent innervation from the remaining intact nerves. Contralateral effects of nerve transection were also observed; taste buds were larger due to an increase in the number of taste bud cells. These data are the first to characterize adult mouse fungiform taste buds and subsequent degeneration after unilateral nerve transection. They provide the basis for more mechanistic studies in which genetically engineered mice can be used. J. Comp. Neurol. 504:206–216, 2007.
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identification of the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms have been elusive.

Oddly, the dynamics of fungiform taste bud degeneration after denervation has not been studied in mice. The anterior tongue provides many distinct advantages in studying taste bud development, degeneration, and regeneration: the ease of accessibility of the anterior tongue along with the discrete grouping of taste buds in fungiform papillae make it possible to perform noninvasive experimental manipulations with single taste buds in live animals (Krimm and Hill, 1998, 2000; Whitehead et al., 1999; Shuler et al., 2004). Most important, studies focused on mouse fungiform taste buds provide another benefit that is not readily available in other rodent species. Due to the ability to experimentally alter genes, the mouse is an excellent animal model to uncover the molecular mechanisms responsible for neural dependence in the peripheral taste system. A similar strategy has been successfully used to answer long-standing problems in gustatory neurobiolgy. Genetically engineered mouse models have provided insights into the functional identification of neurotransmitters in taste buds (Finger et al., 2005), as well as the cellular/molecular mechanisms of gustatory organization (Zhang et al., 1997; Noorat et al., 1997, 2004; LeMaster et al., 1999; Liebl et al., 1999; Mistretta et al., 1999; Ringstedt et al., 1999; Krimm et al., 2001; Sun and Oakley, 2002; Yee et al., 2003, 2005; Agerman et al., 2003).

To better design studies that take advantage of the ability to manipulate genes in mice, it is important to first characterize the neural dependence of fungiform taste buds in a background strain, especially given the dramatic species-related diversity. Once these data are obtained they can then be used as a standard to which findings from genetically modified mice can be compared. Therefore, we chose to characterize the topography of taste buds on the anterior tongue and provide the first data describing the effects of axotomy on taste bud structure in wildtype mice. Two unexpected results surfaced in the course of these studies. First, there was a large difference in the amount of taste bud degeneration between the tip of the tongue and the intermediate region of the tongue. Second, changes in taste bud volume occurred on the side of the tongue contralateral to nerve transection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Female C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and housed in groups of 1–4 mice per cage in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room with a 12:12 light/dark cycle. Free access to standard rodent chow and tap water were provided. Mice between the ages of 50 and 80 days were assigned to one of five groups: a control group with no surgical manipulation (n = 4) or one of four surgical groups. The surgical groups received unilateral chorda-lingual nerve transection and were allowed 5 (n = 3), 10 (n = 3), 15 (n = 3), or 20 (n = 3) days to recover before being sacrificed. In addition, four mice (10-day posttransection, n = 2; and 20-day posttransection, n = 2) were used for neurofilament staining and 4 mice (10-day posttransection, n = 4) for a neuron-specific ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase (PGP 9.5) staining to examine the presence of innervation. Finally, six mice (control mice, n = 3; 10-day posttransection, n = 3) were used for taste bud cell counts. All experiments were done with the approval of the University of Virginia Animal Use and Care Committee.

Chorda-lingual nerve transection

Mice were anesthetized with intramuscular (IM) injections of medetomidine hydrochloride (0.4 mg/kg body weight) followed by ketamine hydrochloride (40 mg/kg body weight) (Sun et al., 2003; May and Hill, 2006). The right chorda-lingual nerve was exposed in the neck of the mouse and transected between the anterior belly of the digastric and masseter muscles. Although the proximal and distal stumps of the transected chorda-lingual nerve were separated, no further steps were taken to minimize regeneration. Surgeries were brief (~10 minutes) and had no complications. After the surgery mice were injected IM with atipamezole (2 mg/kg body weight), an antidote to medetomidine, and allowed to recover on a water-circulating heating pad until fully awake.

Tissue preparation

Mice were sacrificed with urethane (~4 mg/kg body weight) and tongues were collected for sectioning. The anterior tongue was gently manipulated with blunt forceps, removed, rinsed in a 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (pH = 7.2), and suspended in tissue freezing medium (Triangle Biological Sciences, Durham, NC). The specimen was frozen and stored in a deep freeze at −80°C until coronally sectioned on a cryostat (Leica 3050s, Bannockburn, IL). Sections were collected from the anteriormost 5.0 mm of tongue. Serial sections (12 μm thick) were mounted on glass slides for taste bud counts and volume measurements, while tongues used for neurofilament immunohistochemistry were sectioned at 14–16 μm. Since most taste buds remaining after chorda-lingual nerve transection were only present in the very anterior portion of the tongue (see Results), taste bud cell counts and neurofilament immunohistochemistry were done only on the first millimeter of tongue.

Taste bud analysis

Immunohistochemistry.

Taste buds were visualized using an antibody to cytokeratin 8 (Fig. 1), a protein that, in the mouse oral cavity, is found exclusively within taste buds (Knapp et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 1995). The tissue was postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.01 M PBS solution and rinsed with PBS. Slides were reacted with an antibody to cytokeratin 8 (a monoclonal antibody against intermediate filaments generated from rat spleen, TROMA-1, 1:60; in 0.3% Triton X-100/PBS solution, Sigma, St. Louis, MO), covered with paraffin strips, and stored overnight at 4°C. Troma I (i.e., cytokeratin 8) was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank developed under the auspices of the NICHD and maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biological Sciences (Iowa City, IA). The Troma I antiserum was characterized with Western blot analysis that stained a single band of ≈55 kD molecular weight (manufacturer's technical information) from preimplanted embryos (Bulet et al., 1980). Slides were rinsed in PBS and placed in rhodamine (TRITC)-conjugated donkey antirat IgG secondary (1:333, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) for 1 hour. After a final rinse in PBS, slides were air-dried and coverslipped with Krystalon mounting medium (Harleco, Gibbstown, NJ).
**Microscopic analysis.** Fungiform taste buds were counted and taste bud volumes were measured using a compound epifluorescent microscope (Leica) and Neurolucida imaging software (MicroBrightField, Williston, VT). A single taste bud from an intact tongue could generally be found in 3–5 sections (i.e., 36–60 μm; Fig. 1). The area from each section was multiplied by section thickness and summed to obtain the total taste bud volume. The approximate distance from the tip of the tongue and the approximate distance from the midline of the tongue were also recorded for regional analysis.

**Taste bud cell counts.** To see if taste bud degeneration after nerve transection was due to a loss of taste bud cells or due to a change in taste bud morphology (e.g., cell shrinkage), control mice and a group of mice with unilateral chorda-lingual transection sacrificed 10 days postsurgery were stained with Sytox (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), a fluorescent nuclear stain. Staining with Sytox al-
lowed counting of individual cells within the taste bud and estimating the mean size of the taste bud cell nucleus. After reacting the slides for cytokeratin 8 as described earlier, slides were rinsed and exposed to a 1-μM solution of Sytox in DMSO for 30–40 seconds. After a brief rinse with distilled water, slides were allowed to dry in the dark and coated with Polysciences mounting medium.

**Imaging.** All of the profiles of a single taste bud were optically sectioned on an Olympus confocal laser microscope (IX70 inverted microscope, Melville, NY) with a 488 nm argon laser and a 543 nm HE/NE laser to visualize both the Sytox nuclear stain and the rhodamine cytokeratin 8 stains, respectively. Images were captured using Fluoview software (Olympus). Taste buds were serially reconstructed using Neurolucida software, and the number of cells in each taste bud was counted. A cell was counted if the nucleus was completely encompassed by cytokeratin 8 stain. Sizes of cell nuclei were estimated by tracing the perimeter of all nuclei observed in the centermost section of each taste bud; the area of the nuclei were calculated using Neurolucida imaging software (MicroBrightField).

**Analysis.** Taste bud volumes among animals within each experimental group belonged to a homogenous population using Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance, $P > 0.05$; therefore, taste buds were pooled and a single mean taste bud volume was calculated for each group. Mean taste bud numbers and volumes were compared using a one-way ANOVA. When overall significance was determined, a Tukey post-hoc test was used to identify which groups within the analysis were significant. When only two groups were compared, an independent $T$-test was used. Significance for all analyses was defined as $P < 0.05$ using two-tailed tests.

**Neurofilament visualization**

Tongue sections from mice sacrificed 10 and 20 days after chorda-lingual transection were reacted for TROMA-I and neurofilament 150 kD. Sections stored at 20°C for 10 minutes. Slides were air-dried, then rinsed with 0.1 M PBS solution before incubating in anti-cytokeratin 8 (Troma I, 1:160) and anti-neurofilament 150 kD (1:2,000; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, product number AB1981) with 0.3% Triton overnight at 4°C. Anti-neurofilament 150 kD is a polyclonal antibody raised in rabbit against highly purified bovine neurofilament polypeptide. Neurofilament 150 kD was purified and separated using batchwise hydroxyapatite chromatography (Liem and Hutchison, 1982) and high-performance liquid chromatography (Karlsson et al., 1987). Antibody specificity was shown using both inhibition enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and immunoblotting techniques from bovine brainstem (Karlsson et al., 1989). The latter revealed a single band of -150 kD. Tissue was then rinsed in PBS and placed in a mixture of rhodamine-conjugated donkey antirabbit IgG (1:333) for 45 minutes. Slides were rinsed briefly in distilled water and allowed to dry before they were coverslipped with Krystalon. In order to visualize finer neural processes, we also used an antibody to PGP 9.5 along with Troma I on another set of tissue. This polyclonal antibody (AbD Serotec, Raleigh, NC, Cat. No. 7863-0504) was raised in rabbit against PGP 9.5 from pathogen-free human brain, but works well in mice due to high species cross-specificity. When tested under reducing conditions, the antibody gave a single band of 27 kD (manufacturer’s product sheet) when tested against rat brain. Similar to cytokeratin 8 staining, slides were rinsed with 0.1 M PBS and placed in antibody (1:400, 0.3% Triton) overnight at 4°C. On the following day, tissue was placed in Alexa Fluor 488 goat antirabbit IgG (1:250) secondary for 1 hour, rinsed in PBS, and then placed in Troma I primary (1:60, no Triton) for 1 hour at room temperature. After a brief rinse, the slides were reacted with rhodamine-conjugated donkey antirat IgG (1:333) for an hour. Tissue was examined under the Olympus confocal laser microscope to see if fibers were present in the cut side of the tongue at 10 or 20 days after chorda-lingual transection. No staining was observed when either the neurofilament 150 antibody or the PGP 9.5 antibody were omitted from the primary incubation.

For figure plates, Fireworks (Macromedia, San Francisco, CA) and Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) were used to compose images from digital files. Images were enhanced only for contrast and brightness.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of taste buds in intact mice**

**Taste bud numbers.** In control mice, taste buds in the fungiform papilla on the anterior 5 mm of the tongue had a characteristic onion-like appearance (Fig. 1) with a mean (±SEM) of 54.6 ± 1.1 (n = 16) taste buds per side of the tongue. To identify regional differences in taste bud size and number the tongue was divided along both the anterior–posterior and medial–lateral axis (Fig 2).

There was a pronounced anterior-to-posterior gradient in taste bud densities in control mice. The anteriormost region of the tongue had the greatest density of taste buds; the first millimeter of tongue had a mean of 34.4 ± 1.1 taste buds on each side of the tongue, while the next 4 mm had a mean of 20.2 ± 0.9 taste buds per side ($t = 10.5; P < 0.001$; Table 1, intact data). Thus, ∼63% of mouse taste buds on the first 5 mm of tongue were on the anteriormost millimeter. The tongue was further divided along the medial–lateral axis. Taste buds located ∼350 μm to the midline were classified as “medial” taste buds. “Middle” taste buds were located between 350 and 900 μm lateral from the midline, and taste buds located 900 mm from the midline to the lateral margin of the tongue were termed “lateral” taste buds (Fig. 2). In control mice there was no significant difference in the number of taste buds among regions along the medial–lateral axis (data not shown).

**Taste bud volumes.** The mean taste bud volume on the anterior 5 mm of tongue in control mice was $2.5 ± 0.1 \times 10^4 \mu m^3$ (n = 201). The first millimeter of tongue (anterior tongue) contained significantly smaller taste buds (2.2 ± 0.1 \times 10^4 \mu m^3; n = 112; $T = 6.4, P < 0.001$) than in the more posterior region (designated the intermediate tongue, 2.8 ± 0.1 \times 10^4 \mu m^3; n = 89; Table 1). Taste buds decreased in size farther away from the midline ($F = 4.7, P < 0.01$). Medial taste buds did not differ significantly from middle taste buds in mean taste bud volume (2.6 ± 0.1 \times 10^4 \mu m^3, n = 63; and 2.5 ± 0.1 \times 10^4 \mu m^3, n = 68, respectively), but medial taste buds were significantly larger than lateral taste buds (2.3 ± 10^4 \mu m^3, n = 68).
P < 0.01, data not shown). There were no interaction effects between anterior–posterior taste bud position and medial–lateral taste bud position, although it did approach significance (F = 2.8, P = 0.07). Thus, in the mouse the volume of a fungiform taste bud was affected by its position along both the anterior–posterior axis and the medial–lateral axis of the tongue.

Unilateral chorda-lingual nerve transection: ipsilateral effects

After transection, both number of taste buds (F = 52.5, P < 0.001; see Table 1) and the size of remaining taste buds (F = 91.0, P < 0.001; see Table 1) on the ipsilateral tongue decreased dramatically in all groups. At 5 days after transection, mice had ~82% of control taste buds (44.8 ± 4.3 and 54.6 ± 1.1, respectively; P = 0.03). This number dropped significantly to 32% at 15 (17.3 ± 4.1; P < 0.001) and 20 days (17.7 ± 1.8; P < 0.001) following nerve transection (Table 1). Mean taste bud volume also decreased significantly by 5 days (1.5 ± 0.1 × 10^4; P < 0.001) and significantly decreased further at 15 days post-transection (1.0 ± 0.1 × 10^4; P < 0.001). Chorda-lingual transection eliminated the effect of anterior–posterior position on mean taste bud volume (see Table 1).

Interestingly, the loss of taste buds along the tongue was not uniform; a much higher percentage of taste buds were lost on the intermediate tongue compared to the anterior tongue, while no medial–lateral differences were observed (Fig. 3A). Although the anterior tongue lost more total taste buds, almost no taste buds remained on the intermediate portion of the tongue by 15 days posttransection. This difference was quantified by analyzing the difference in the total amount of taste tissue (total taste bud volume) between the anterior and intermediate tongue after chorda-lingual nerve transection. Total taste bud volume was defined as the total amount of lingual tissue immunopositive for cytokeratin 8 and was derived by multiplying the mean taste bud volume for the anterior and intermediate tongue by the total number of taste buds in each region of the tongue. These two numbers represent

Table 1. Mean Number and Volume of Fungiform Taste Buds in Intact Mice and After Chorda-lingual Nerve Transection (CLX)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CLX SE</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>CLX SE</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Intact SE</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean # of TBs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Day CLX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Day CLX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Day CLX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Day CLX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean number of taste buds decreases significantly after nerve transection. The decrease occurs sooner and more robustly in the posterior field than the anterior. By 15 days few taste buds remain on the posterior field. In intact animals taste buds on the anterior 1 mm of the tongue are smaller than more posterior taste buds (P < 0.05). Remaining fungiform taste buds after transection are smaller on the ipsilateral, transected side of the tongue, but increase in size on the side of the tongue contralateral to nerve transection. The plus (+) denotes a significant difference from corresponding lingual area (anterior or posterior) in intact mice (P < 0.05).
an estimate of the total taste bud volume for each animal (Fig. 3B).

Although the anterior and intermediate tongue had similar decreases in taste bud volume 20 days after chorda-lingual transection, the intermediate tongue lost significantly more tissue than the anterior tongue ($F = 47.7, P = 0.002)$. By 20 days, the mean total taste bud volume on the anterior tongue was $16.0 \pm 2.2 \times 10^4 \mu m^3$ ($n = 3$), and the intermediate tongue had a mean of $0.6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^4 \mu m^3$ ($n = 3, P < 0.01$; Fig. 3B). While there was no anterior–posterior difference for total taste volume in intact mice, there was 26.8 times more taste tissue on the anterior tongue than on the intermediate tongue at 20 days posttransection.

Unilateral chorda-lingual nerve transection: contralateral effects

The side of the tongue contralateral to chorda-lingual nerve transection was also analyzed. Although none of the groups differed in taste bud number on the intact side of the tongue, there was a change in mean taste bud volume ($F = 8.5, P < 0.001$; Table 1). At 5 days posttransection there was a significant increase in mean taste bud volume on the side of the tongue contralateral to nerve transection compared to intact controls ($P = 0.004$). Taste bud volumes on the contralateral tongue peaked at 10 days ($P < 0.001$) and declined but remained significantly greater than controls at 20 days following nerve transection ($P = 0.001$). The mean volume for the group surviving for 15 days posttransection did not differ significantly from controls.

Distribution of taste bud volume changes

The experimentally induced changes in taste bud volumes may have been due to a selective loss of large taste buds on the side of the tongue unilateral to the nerve section and/or a selective loss of small taste buds on the side of the tongue contralateral to the nerve section. Alternatively, entire distributions may have shifted to the left (ipsilateral effects) or to the right (contralateral effects) compared to intact controls. Figure 4 shows that similar shapes of the frequency distributions are seen for taste bud volumes on the sectioned side of the tongue, intact controls, and on the contralateral side of the tongue.
Concomitantly, taste buds on the contralateral tongue had significantly fewer taste bud cells/taste bud on the side of nerve transection (*P < 0.001) compared to controls (45.3 ± 1.7, n = 19). Therefore, there is not a selective loss of large or small taste buds in the distributions from the experimental groups.

**Taste bud cell number**

Sytox staining revealed significant differences in the number of taste bud cells per taste bud in unoperated control mice (intact) and in the transected (ipsilateral cut) and intact (contralateral intact) sides of the tongue of mice 10 days after receiving chorda-lingual nerve transection. Taste buds on the transected side of the tongue had significantly fewer cells than those in control mice and on the intact side of the tongue at 10 days post-nerve transection (*P < 0.001). Also, the side of the tongue contralateral to the nerve transection had more mean taste buds compared to intact control mice (*P = 0.04).

The experimentally induced alterations are due to a significant shift in the frequency distribution of taste bud volumes to smaller taste buds on the ipsilateral side and to larger taste buds on the contralateral side (Fig. 4). Therefore, there is not a selective loss of large or small taste buds in the distributions from the experimental groups.

**Innervation**

It was important to confirm the efficacy of the chorda-lingual nerve transection and determine if fungiform papillae ipsilateral to the nerve cut contained nerve fibers. In addition, Härd af Segerstad et al. (1989) demonstrated that nongustatory nerves maintain taste buds in the absence of chorda tympani nerve innervation, and Kinnman and Aldskogius (1988) found some lingual nerve fibers contralateral to chorda-lingual nerve transection crossed the midline and innervated taste buds on the denervated side of the tongue. To determine if remaining fungiform taste buds were maintained by nerve innervation, we sampled mice at 10 and 20 days post-chorda-lingual transection and visualized nerve fibers using a neurofilament antibody and an antibody to PGP 9.5.

Ten days after chorda-lingual transection the intact side of the tongue showed robust taste bud innervation; fibers could be seen within taste buds immediately adjacent to taste buds and in papillae surrounding the taste bud (Fig. 7A). Presumably, fibers contacting the taste bud belonged to the chorda tympani nerve, while surrounding fibers were part of the lingual nerve (Cheal and Oakley, 1977). On the cut side of the tongue, most papillae had no nerve fibers (Fig. 7B,C). However, there was a single case in which fibers entered a papilla at 10 days posttransection, but no taste bud was observed. In 20-day posttransected mice, a different picture emerged. Almost all papillae, regardless of whether they contained taste buds, had nerve fibers in the taste bud region of the papilla (Fig. 7E,F). Because Neurofilament 150 kD tends to stain larger fibers, we used an antibody to PGP 9.5, a neural marker that labels finer processes, to confirm both empty papillae and remnant fungiform taste buds lack innervation (Fig. 7G–I). The results indicate nerve fibers appear to invade fungiform papilla between 10 and 20 days posttransection, similar to the results obtained using the Neurofilament 150 kD antibody.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study are the first to show the morphological changes in mouse fungiform taste buds following axotomy of the chorda-lingual nerve. As such, they form the basis for similar studies using genetically engineered mice. Initially, we characterized fungiform taste bud structure and size in intact mice in order to provide the standard by which axotomy-induced changes could be compared.

Several patterns emerged from this analysis. The anterior tip of the tongue had many more taste buds than the intermediate tongue, while the intermediate tongue had larger taste buds. When both mean taste bud volume and number of taste buds were taken into account, the region-specific differences were eliminated, resulting in approximately the same amount of total taste tissue on the anterior tongue and the intermediate tongue. In addition, taste buds on the medial portion of the tongue were larger than taste buds on the lateral portion of the tongue.
Fig. 7. Innervation after chorda-lingual nerve transection. A–C: Profiles of taste buds from a mouse 10 days after unilateral chorda-lingual nerve transection. Fibers positive for neurofilament and PGP 9.5 are in green, cytokeratin 8-positive cells are in magenta. Taste buds on the contralateral, intact side of the tongue were richly innervated with neurofilament 150-positive fibers (A). On the transected side of the tongue, no fibers were observed in empty papilla (B) or papilla with remnant fungiform taste buds (C). The arrow indicates hypoglossal fibers innervating underlying muscle tissue. D–F: Profiles of taste buds 20 days after chorda-lingual nerve transection. These include an intact taste bud contralateral to nerve transection (D), neural fibers in an empty fungiform papilla (E), and fibers approaching a small, remnant fungiform taste bud (F). To confirm the lack of chorda-lingual fibers 10 days after nerve transection, G–I show PGP 9.5 immuno-like staining. Taste buds on the intact side of the tongue show prolific staining for PGP 9.5 (G), while the cut side lacks PGP 9.5 staining in empty fungiform papilla (H) and in the presence of a fungiform taste bud (I). Scale bars = 20 μm.
Effects of chorda tympani-lingual nerve axotomy

Two unexpected results related to axotomy of the chorda-lingual nerve surfaced in the course of these studies. First, there was a large difference in the amount of taste bud degeneration between the tip of the tongue and the intermediate region of the tongue. Second, changes in taste bud volume on the side of the tongue contralateral to nerve transection occurred.

Anterior versus intermediate tongue. Taste buds on the intermediate tongue are more susceptible to chorda-lingual transection than taste buds near the tip of the tongue. By 15 days posttransection, nearly half the taste buds on the anteriormost millimeter of tongue survived. In contrast, almost all posterior taste buds disappeared. This could be due to regional differences in cellular/molecular factors related to taste bud cell death. Sun and Oakley (2002) found that the anteriormost millimeter of the mouse tongue was dependent on epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFr) for normal development, while the mid-region of the tongue developed normally in the absence of epidermal growth factor receptors. Conversely, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) null mutant mice had more taste buds remaining on the tip of the tongue than on more posterior regions (Mistretta et al., 1999). The regional difference in the susceptibility of adult mouse taste buds to survive chorda-lingual nerve transection seen here may relate to the regional differences in the molecular expression of these and/or other factors. For example, if the chorda tympani nerve provides trophic support in the form of anterograde transport of BDNF, then transection may differentially affect the posterior, BDNF-sensitive portion of the chorda tympani receptive field. Curiously, the reappearance of nerves into the anterior portion of the tongue by 20 days posttransection failed to restore taste buds, which is consistent with the hypothesis that the neural dependence of anterior tongue taste buds are distinct from more posteriorly located taste buds.

Neuroimmunological data from the rat taste system lends further support for a fundamental difference between the anterior and the mid-region of tongue. Nearly 50% of activated macrophages are located in the anteriormost 1.2 mm of tongue after chorda tympani transection (McCluskey, 2004; Cavallin and McCluskey, 2005). This macrophage infiltration may increase cell survival after nerve injury, as demonstrated in retinal ganglion cells after nerve injury (Fischer et al., 2000, 2001; Leon et al., 2000).

Contralateral changes. Mice with unilateral chorda-lingual transection showed an increase in taste bud size, due to an increase in taste bud cell number, on the contralateral, intact side. These findings were also confirmed in rats following unilateral chorda tympani transection (Shuler et al., 2004). Although the reasons for this are unclear, there is a precedence for such contralateral changes occurring after nerve injury (reviewed in Koltzenburg et al., 1999). For example, Rotschanke and Tal (1985) observed a 3-fold increase in sprouting at the neuromuscular junction contralateral to sciatic nerve lesion as soon as 5 days posttransection in the mouse. Similar results have been found in autonomic and sensory neurons (reviewed in Koltzenburg et al., 1999). Further, immunological changes in the lingual epithelium have been observed in the rat after unilateral chorda tympani nerve transection (McCluskey, 2004). The intact side of the tongue had ~3 times the number of activated macrophages on the anterior tongue as control, sham-operated rats. Taken together, these changes may promote taste bud cell survival or the addition of cells to the taste bud resulting in larger taste buds in fungiform papilla contralateral to nerve injury.

Comparison with axotomy-induced changes in posterior tongue

The research in adult mouse taste bud degeneration following nerve damage has been limited to the circumvallate papillae (Takeda et al., 1996, 2000; Uchida et al., 2003). When innervation was removed from circumvallate taste buds, almost all taste buds completely degenerated by 11 days. The results from the current study extended the findings of Takeda et al. (1996, 2000) by showing that the fungiform taste buds also decrease in size due, at least in part, to a loss of taste receptor cells. This is consistent with the finding of increased apoptosis that was derived from mouse circumvallate papillae (Takeda et al., 1996). However, unlike circumvallate taste buds, a significant number of taste buds remained on the anteriormost tongue even 20 days after nerve transection, suggesting less of a neurotrophic influence on taste buds on the anterior tongue. These remaining taste buds could not be explained by neural innervation; fibers were absent in both "empty" papillae and papillae containing taste bud remnants by 10 days posttransection. Therefore, while there are similarities in the ultimate effects of axotomy on circumvallate and fungiform taste buds, the time course and the extent of degeneration appears to be different.

Comparative analysis

Oakley et al. (1993) compared taste bud loss after chorda-lingual transection in the gerbil, rat, and hamster. They reported that gerbils had the most drastic loss of taste buds after 3 weeks, with the loss of 71% of taste buds, while hamsters and rats only lost 26% and 28% of taste buds, respectively. McCluskey and Hill (2002) reported a much greater loss of taste buds in the rat; almost 80% of fungiform taste buds were lost after a week posttransection. The difference between the two findings in rats may be due to the method used to identify and measure taste buds. Oakley et al. (1993) used a hematoxylin stain that reveals general morphological characteristics of taste buds and surrounding epithelial cells. McCluskey and Hill (2002) used a marker for taste bud cells, cytokeratin 19. Regardless, in all species (now including mice), some taste buds remained after chorda-lingual transection. In the mouse we found that 68% of taste buds were lost 20 days after nerve transection. Thus, mice appear to be more like gerbils in their nerve dependence than hamsters and rats. Unlike the circumvallate papilla, where complete taste bud loss occurred after denervation, neural dependence in mice fungiform taste buds was far from complete. Although it has been suggested this is due, at least in rats, to unidentified innervation (Hård af Segerstad et al., 1989; Oakley et al., 1993), the current study found almost no innervation in empty papilla or papilla with small, abnormal taste buds at 10 days posttransection. While this highlights one of the most interesting findings related to an apparent lack of neural dependence of taste buds, especially in the anteriormost portion of the mouse tongue, we cannot rule out the possibility that...
Possible methodological differences in taste bud markers

Another potential reason that could account for differences between the current findings in mice and results seen in other species is the way taste buds are categorized and measured. As noted above, early studies that examined taste bud changes following taste nerve transections used hematoxylin and eosin stains. It is relatively straightforward to determine the boundaries of the central portion of the taste bud in hematoxylin-stained tissue because of the characteristic location of cell nuclei at the base of the taste bud and the translucent appearance of the apical portion (see Fig. 8A). However, the borders of taste buds become more ambiguous at the lateral margins of the taste bud (similar in appearance to Fig. 8C). In contrast, this ambiguity does not occur with the use of the cytokeratin antibody label as done here, but there is no assurance that both methods can be used to obtain similar measures of taste bud counts and taste bud volumes.

To address this potential problem, we took tissue stained with cytokeratin 8 from a mouse 10 days after nerve transection and photographed fungiform taste buds ipsilateral and contralateral to the transection. We then stained the tissue for hematoxylin and eosin, as done by others (e.g., Oakley et al., 1993), and compared the appearance with the cytokeratin 8-stained image (Fig. 8). Of the 22 fungiform papillae examined, all cytokeratin 8-stained taste buds were similar in appearance and size to hematoxylin and eosin-stained taste buds. Specifically, the borders of taste buds stained with hematoxylin and eosin, especially in the center of the taste bud, were very similar to those identified with the cytokeratin 8 antibody (Fig. 8A,B). Conversely, a lack of the characteristic taste bud appearance in fungiform papillae (i.e., elongated cells with nuclei in the base) on the sectioned side of the tongue, as identified with hematoxylin and eosin staining, was matched with an absence of cellular staining with the cytokeratin 8 antibody (Fig. 8C,D). As an example, Figure 8C shows a section through the center of a taste bud that would be classified as a remnant or atrophic taste bud (Oakley et al., 1993), primarily because of the location of nuclei and lack of slender cells. Cytokeratin staining (Fig. 8D) also was not apparent for this papillae, with the exception of a small amount of staining in the apical portion of the papilla. For intact taste buds the primary difference between methods was that the fine characteristics of the taste buds and the margins were much easier to distinguish with the use of the cytokeratin 8 antibody (Fig. 8A,B); volumes were similar between taste buds stained with the two methods. Therefore, we believe that our measurements accurately identify taste buds at least as well as past methods, but have the added benefit of unambiguously identifying taste bud cells for additional measurements. Finally, to emphasize the confidence in using the antibody staining method, cytokeratin staining was used by Oakley et al. (1993), to complement the characterization of taste bud morphology with hematoxylin staining following nerve transection in rat and used more recently to exclusively examine taste bud volumes and morphology in mice with the bax gene deleted (Zeng et al., 2000).

SUMMARY

The gustatory system remains an excellent model system to examine nerve/target relationships. The findings presented here demonstrate that the mouse is an appropriate animal model to study these relationships and are similar to other rodent models in that there is a clear degeneration of fungiform taste buds following loss of innervation and with generally the same time course as seen in other rodents. However, there are clear distinctions that make the mouse an especially attractive model: a clear difference in susceptibility to axotomy between tip and mid-region of the anterior tongue and the ability to manipulate relevant genes. With the advent of recombinant DNA technology, the mouse model offers a genetic means to study the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in regulation of cell turnover and nerve-target dependence in the gustatory system.
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